



Arboricultural Report

Tree Condition Assessment

Eastland Road Park
Eastland Road
Thornbury

19th December 2022

Compiled for:

Jon Brain

On behalf of

Thornbury Town Council

By

Phil Dye

BSc (hons) Arb, Cert Arb L4 (ABC), BA (Hons), MArborA

Ref: WTC_1045.01

Wotton Tree Consultancy Ltd

24 Haw Street
Wotton-under-Edge
Gloucestershire
GL12 7AQ
info@wtrec.co.uk
01453 520147
07835 444 675



Contents

1:0	INTRODUCTION	2
2:0	SCOPE	2
3:0	REPORT LIMITATIONS	3
4:0	SITE VISIT AND OBSERVATIONS	4
4.1	Site visit	4
5:0	EXPLANATORY NOTES	4
5.1	Method	4
5.2	Table fields	4
5.3	Recommended works	6
6:0	TREE SURVEY DATA	6
7:0	IMMEDIATE CONCERNS	8
8:0	CONSIDERATIONS	8
8.1	Timing of works.....	8
8.2	Felling licence.....	8
8.3	Ivy control	9
8.4	Legal obligations	9
8.5	Common Law Right of Abatement.....	10
8.6	Tree Preservation Orders and Conservation Areas	10
8.7	Tree Works.....	10
8.8	Future tree inspections.....	10
	Sources of Information	11
	APPENDIX A – Map.....	12

NOTE

This report is the property of Wotton Tree Consultancy Ltd and is issued on the condition it is not reproduced, retained or disclosed to any unauthorised person, either wholly or in part without the written consent of Wotton Tree Consultancy Ltd.

© Wotton Tree Consultancy Ltd 2022

1:0 INTRODUCTION

I am a consulting arboriculturist with Wotton Tree Consultancy Ltd. I have a BSc (hons) Arboriculture and the AA Technicians Certificate in Arboriculture (Cert Arb L4 (ABC)). I am a LANTRA qualified Professional Tree Inspector. I am a licensed user of Quantified Tree Risk Assessment (QTRA) - license no. 2278, a professional member of the Arboricultural Association and a professional member of the Consulting Arborists Society. I am trained in valuing amenity trees using the Capital Asset Value for Amenity Trees (CAVAT) system. I have been a consulting arboriculturist since 2006.

2:0 SCOPE

I have been instructed by Jon Brain of Thornbury Town Council to undertake a health and safety survey of the trees within the curtilage of Eastland Road Park. The risk of harm has been calculated using Quantified Tree Risk Assessment (QTRA). Remedial tree works have been recommended only where appropriate to reduce risk of harm to an acceptable level in line with HSE's *Tolerability of Risk Framework* (HSE 2001).

3:0 REPORT LIMITATIONS

- i. This report is an evaluation of the condition of the trees at the time of inspection. Due to the changing nature of trees and other site circumstances, predictions of their future condition can only be made using the visible signs present at the time of inspection.
- ii. Under certain conditions, roots can affect foundations, drains and other underground services. These issues have not been addressed in this report.
- iii. Trees are dynamic structures that can never be guaranteed 100% safe. Even those in good condition can suffer occasional damage under only average weather conditions. For this reason the contents of this report is valid for 12 months from the date of inspection.
- iv. The inspection was carried out from ground level only. There was no aerial inspection.
- v. No samples were taken away from site for analysis elsewhere.
- vi. Any alterations of or deletions from this report will invalidate it.
- vii. No responsibility is assumed by Wotton Tree Consultancy for legal matters that may arise from this report, and the consultant will not be required to give testimony or attend court unless subsequent contractual arrangements are made.
- viii. Any subsequent works undertaken to the surveyed tree as a result of this report is the responsibility of the land managers.
- ix. I have not contacted the Local Planning Authority to determine whether any Tree Preservation Order (TPO) covers any of the trees, nor to determine if the site is in a Conservation Area. Before undertaking any work to any of the trees, it would be advisable to check whether either of these planning controls are in operation; if they are, it would be necessary to obtain consent (or in the case of a Conservation area give six weeks notice of intent) before undertaking any such work.

4:0 SITE VISIT AND OBSERVATIONS

4.1 Site visit

The survey was carried out on 9th December 2022. All observations were from ground level. A nylon headed mallet was used to sound out decay in the trunks of the trees. A Tru-Pulse 360 laser rangefinder was used to accurately measure the height of the trees.

5:0 EXPLANATORY NOTES

5.1 Method

All trees have been systematically inspected using Visual Tree Assessment (VTA). Where necessary, a nylon headed mallet has been utilised to sound out decay. Any tree works highlighted in the table and on the accompanied plans require works to abate any health and safety issues in the following 18 months.

5.2 Table fields

5.2.1 Tree number

Each of these trees has been allotted a number so that the location on the plan and works recommendations on the table can be cross-referenced.

5.2.2 Species

The common name is recorded. Where the species is uncertain, only the genus is stated followed by the letters spp (species).

5.2.3 Age class

This has been recorded as:

- y = Young
- sm = Semi mature
- em = Early mature
- m = Mature
- om = Over mature
- v = Veteran

These are all relative to the life span of the species.

5.2.4 Diameter at 1.5m

Measured in mm, this is the diameter of the main stem taken at a height of 1.5m from ground level. These have been banded into the following groups:

<75, 75-150, 150-250, 250-350, 350-500, 500-750, 750-1m, 1m+

5.2.5 Ht range (m)

Height of tree measured in metres from the base to the highest part of vegetative growth. These are banded into 5 groups:

0-5, 6-10, 11-15, 16-20 and 20+

5.2.6 Crown clearance

The distance from the ground to the lowest bough or canopy part.

5.2.7 Physiological condition

The condition of the trees' health, looking in particular at vitality and the presence of disease. These are categorised as follows:

Poor = in decline/dying and/or significant faults

Fair = some minor faults but good vitality.

Good = No apparent faults, high vitality, significant life expectancy

5.2.8 Structural condition

The condition of the trees stem and branch structure, looking in particular at branch unions, crossing branches and crown formation. These are categorised as follows:

Poor = structurally compromised showing significant defects beyond remedy

Fair = some minor defects which can be remedied through tree works.

Good = No significant defects.

5.2.9 Works recommendations

See section 5.3 below.

5.2.10 Comments

Observations about the tree or its environment where they are deemed noteworthy.

5.2.11 Safe useful life expectancy

An estimation in years of the remaining contribution the tree can offer, depending on its condition, age, location and size.

5.2.15 Priority

To facilitate the management of tree works a priority is given to each recommendation depending upon its urgency.

Priority 1 = Urgent – mitigate the identified problem as soon as possible

Priority 2 = High risk - mitigate the identified problem as soon as the work schedule allows

Priority 3 = Moderate risk - Retain and monitor the tree and / or mitigate the identified problem as necessary

Priority 4 = Low priority - retain and monitor the tree. Mitigate the identified problem if desired.

5.3 **Recommended works**

No works required at time of inspection.

6:0 **TREE SURVEY DATA**

The following trees were inspected for structural integrity and health and safety.

Tree No	Species	Age class	Diameter range at 1.5m (mm)	Height range (m)	Crown Clearance (m)	Physiological condition	Structural condition	Comments	Works recommendations	Safe Useful Life Expectancy (SULE) years	Priority
T1	Rowan	Young	<75	0-5m	2	Good	Fair	-	-	20-40	-
T2	Cherry	Semi-mature	150-250	0-5m	1	Good	Fair	-	-	20-40	-
T3	Bird cherry	Semi-mature	75-150	5-10m	0	Fair	Fair	-	-	20-40	-
T4	Apple	Young	<75	0-5m	1	Fair	Fair	-	-	20-40	-
T5	Apple	Young	<75	0-5m	1	Fair	Fair	-	-	20-40	-
T6	Field maple	Semi-mature	75-150	0-5m	0	Good	Fair	-	-	40+	-
T7	Field maple	Semi-mature	75-150	0-5m	0	Good	Fair	-	-	40+	-

7:0 IMMEDIATE CONCERNS

The survey identified no immediate (priority 1) health and safety works to the trees within the curtilage of Eastland Road Park.

8:0 CONSIDERATIONS

8.1 Timing of works

The optimum time to undertake tree works are when the tree is in full leaf. At this point the tree has produced enough energy to react positively to the pruning, and will be able to produce more energy before dormancy in winter for bud burst in the following spring.

A full inspection of the tree for birds and bats should be undertaken prior to works. The table below gives an indication of the best times to prune for the tree, the birds and the bats.

Table 1. Phenology of tree pruning

Months	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Trees	√	√	x	x	x	√	√	√	√	x	x	√
Bats	x	x	√	√	√	x	x	x	√	√	√	x
Birds	√	√	x	x	x	x	√	√	√	√	√	√

√ = Optimum time to prune

Note 1: The limitations on tree health are only relevant if the tree is being retained. Time of year is not important for felling. An Ecologist could provide further information about birds and bats.

Note 2: The optimum time to prune a tree is midsummer. If pruning is to be carried in the winter months, then it is important that it is during a period of mild temperatures.

8.2 Felling licence

Licences from the Forestry Commission are required when felling more than 5 m³ of timber in one calendar quarter. Works to dead or dangerous trees are exempt from this licence as are any tree surgery works. This covers all the works that I have recommended. Permission might be required for any additional works.

8.3 Ivy control

Ivy is a native creeper that has many ecological benefits. It provides shelter for bats, birds and a variety of invertebrates, but can sometimes cause problems for trees and structures. Ivy growth on a tree can hide defects within the tree during tree inspections. Dense ivy within the crown can increase the sail area of the tree, making it more prone to failure in high winds. On the walls of buildings, the adventitious roots of ivy can find their way into existing defects such as holes, cracks or gaps in the mortar, and through circumferential growth of woody tissue, exacerbate these defects. If left to grow to the roof they can dislodge tiles.

Should it be necessary to remove ivy, it is recommended that the ivy is severed at the base of the tree or structure and left to die off before removing. This allows any nesting birds or roosting bats to alight the ivy (it is an offence to disturb nesting birds or roosting bats under the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000), and it allows the adventitious roots to release their grip of loose mortar on a structure or bark on a tree, thus reducing damage as the ivy is removed.

8.4 Legal obligations

Tree owners have a legal duty of care to maintain their trees to an acceptable level of safety to ensure that no harm is caused by them to third parties or their property.

The Occupiers Liability Act 1957 and 1984 places a legal duty on the occupier of the house to keep visitors, invited or not, from suffering injury on the premises from a 'concerned danger'. This duty of care is satisfied if the occupier takes reasonable steps to ensure that anyone they might reasonably expect to enter their land is kept reasonably safe from danger whilst on their premises. A tree survey, such as this document is considered a reasonable step, and as long as the tree works that have been prescribed as health and safety have been undertaken, the duty of care has been discharged. Please see section 8.6 for recommended re-inspections.

The Highways Act 1980 places a duty on tree owners to ensure their vegetation does not impede the public highway, which includes footpaths and streetlights. In order to comply with this, a clearance of 2.5m over a footpath, and 5.4m over a road is usually stipulated by the Highway Authority. Actual heights of clearance are not stated within the Act, and the Highway Authority reserve the right to set these clearances depending on use of the road. Under *section 154* of the Act the Highway Authority can serve a notice on the tree owner to undertake any necessary tree works.

The Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 and its amendments in *The Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000* makes it an offence to disturb a birds nest which is in use, which is normally

taken to mean under construction, or with eggs, chicks or birds using it regularly - even if they are not actually in it at the time. For this reason, it is prudent to wait until the bird nesting season has finished before undertaking hedge works. A thorough inspection of the hedge for nesting birds should be undertaken prior to any works commencing. Similar checks should be carried out for tree works.

8.5 Common Law Right of Abatement

In English common law a right to abate a legal nuisance exists, enabling a property owner or tenant to prune any overhanging vegetation or trespassing roots entering their land from trees on neighbouring land up to but not beyond, their boundary line. This does not give rights to trespass onto the neighbouring land and so permissions from the land owner must be sought if access to their land is needed to carry out the pruning works. Any arisings from this work must be disposed of responsibly.

8.6 Tree Preservation Orders and Conservation Areas

It is necessary to contact South Gloucestershire Council's Planning Dept to ascertain the presence of any Tree Preservation Orders (TPOs) or Conservation Areas (CAs). Relevant permissions will be required. South Gloucestershire Council will advise further.

8.7 Tree Works

All tree works must be carried out to BS 3998:2010 *Tree work - Recommendations* standards by competent arborists who can show proof of relevant insurances and qualifications.

8.8 Future tree inspections

It is recommended that the trees are **reinspected every two years** for health and safety. These inspections should be carried out by a competent arboriculturist who can show proof of relevant insurances and qualifications.

Sources of Information

BSI Standards Publication (2010) BS3998 *Tree Works – Recommendations* BSI: London

BSI Standards Publication (2012) BS5837 *Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations* BSI: London

Lonsdale, D (1999) *Principles of Tree Hazard Assessment and Management*, TSO: London

Matheny, N.P & Clark, J.R (1994) *Evaluation of Hazard Trees in Urban Areas* 2nd Ed ISA Illinois



Mattheck, C & Breloer, H (2003) *The Body language of Trees*, TSO: London

Read, H (2000) *Veteran Trees: A guide to good management*, English Nature: London

Strouts, R.G & Winter, T.G (2004) *Diagnosis of Ill-Health in Trees*, TSO: London

APPENDIX A – Map

WTC_1045.02



Do not scale from this drawing.
 Please check all dimensions on site and notify us of any discrepancies. Wotton Tree Consultancy Ltd (WTC) cannot be held responsible for any discrepancies or inaccuracies in the topographical plan upon which this drawing is based.
 © Wotton Tree Consultancy Ltd 2022.
 This drawing is copyright and cannot be used or altered without the express permission of WTC Ltd.

Key

Tree

Project
**Eastland Avenue Park
 Thornbury**

Title
**Tree Location
 Plan**

		Rev	Rev date
Drg No	WTC_1045.02		
Scale @A3	Not to scale	Drn by	PD
Date	Dec 2022	App	

Phil Dye - BSc (hons) Arboriculture, Cert Arb L4 (ABC) , MArborA

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Phil Dye', written in a cursive style.

Principal Arboriculturist
Wotton Tree Consultancy Ltd

Date: 19th December 2022

[End of report]